## REMARKS

Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirements imposed by the Examiner. Applicant contends that while there are multiple embodiments described in the specification, there is but one invention.

The Examiner concludes the process can be practiced by various materially different apparatus based on the various embodiments described in Applicant's specification. Applicant respectfully contends those embodiments are not materially different in that they represent various antenna configurations used to achieve various objectives of the invention. Each of those various embodiments adds specific limitations to the invention of claim 1. The Examiner's characterization of the embodiments shown in Figures 3A, 3B, and 3C as Species 1, 2, and 3, respectively, is improper because each is merely an illustration of a particular transmitter-receiver combination for the transmitter-receiver pair shown in Figure 2. Figures 3A, 3B, and 3C illustrate the use of parallel and tilted (i.e., non-parallel) transmitter and receiver antennas. The various embodiments characterized as sub-species show how to make and configure various transmitter-receiver pairs to achieve the objectives of the invention.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the imposed restriction requirements in light of Applicant's arguments. Should the Examiner find Applicant's arguments unpersuasive, Applicant elects claims 1-14, 17, and 18, with traverse. Those claims read on and Applicant hereby elects, if the restriction requirements are not withdrawn, the subspecies of Figure 7.

The Commissioner is authorized to pay any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0610.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 1 5, 2006

Bryan L. White, Reg. No. 45,211

Schlumberger Technology Corporation

200 Gillingham Lane (MD 9)

Sugar Land, Texas 77478

(281) 285-6493

(281) 285-8821